

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION**

JENIFER LYNE KNIGHTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

**UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT
ARLINGTON, et al.,**

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action 4:18-cv-00792-P

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**


The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. ECF No. 103. Fourteen days later, Plaintiff Jenifer Lyne Knighton filed Objections to the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. ECF No. 108. Knighton's objections merely restate arguments presented to the Magistrate Judge and make unsupported factual assertions. Having considered these arguments and objections, the Court finds that they are frivolous and need not be considered in any further detail. *See Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404, 410 n. 8 (5th Cir. Unit B 1982) (en banc) ("Frivolous, conclusive or general objections need not be considered by the district court."). Therefore, Knighton's objections are **OVERRULED.**

The District Judge also reviewed the proposed Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the undersigned District Judge believes

that the Findings and Conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct, and they are accepted as the Findings and Conclusions of the Court.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Defendants' motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 88) is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, Plaintiff's claims are **DISMISSED with prejudice**.

SO ORDERED on this **8th day of June, 2021**.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mark T. Pittman", written over a horizontal line.

Mark T. Pittman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE